

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/730,590		CHANYA, MARK G.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Gary Estremsky		3676	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Gary Estremsky.

(2) Laura Hargitt.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

3,21,23

  

Prior art documents discussed:

*prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Among other things, an Examiner's Amendment (attached) was agreed to so as to place Application into condition for allowance. Cancelled claim 3 was discussed as regards potential procedure for obtaining its full examination on the record.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1230

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)